

1 KEKER & VAN NEST LLP
ROBERT A. VAN NEST - # 84065
2 rvannest@kvn.com
CHRISTA M. ANDERSON - # 184325
3 canderson@kvn.com
DANIEL PURCELL - # 191424
4 dpurcell@kvn.com
633 Battery Street
5 San Francisco, CA 94111-1809
Telephone: (415) 391-5400
6 Facsimile: (415) 397-7188

7 KING & SPALDING LLP
BRUCE W. BABER (pro hac vice)
8 bbaber@kslaw.com
1180 Peachtree Street, N.E.
9 Atlanta, Georgia 30309
Telephone: (404) 572-4600
10 Facsimile: (404) 572-5100

11 Attorneys for Defendant
GOOGLE INC.

12 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
13 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
14 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

15 ORACLE AMERICA, INC.,
16 Plaintiffs,
17
18 v.
19 GOOGLE INC.,
20 Defendant.

Case No. 3:10-cv-03561 WHA

**DECLARATION OF MAYA KARWANDE
IN SUPPORT OF GOOGLE'S RESPONSE
TO SUMMARY MIL #4 & ORDER TO
SHOW CAUSE RE TECHNICALLY
NECESSARY COPYING (ECF 1765)**

Trial Date: May 9, 2016
Dept: Courtroom 8, 19th Fl.
Judge: Hon. William Alsup

1 I, MAYA KARWANDE, declare as follows:

2 1. I am an attorney licensed to practice law in the State of California and am an
3 associate at the law firm of Kecker & Van Nest LLP, counsel to Google Inc. ("Google") in the
4 above-captioned action. I submit this declaration in support of Google's Opposition to Oracle's
5 Summary Motion in Limine #4 Regarding Copying Not Required to Use the Java Language. I
6 have knowledge of the facts set forth herein, and if called upon as a witness, I could testify to
7 them competently under oath.

8 2. Attached hereto as **Exhibit 1** is a true and correct copy of Exhibit A to Oracle's
9 Supplemental Rule 26(a)(2)(C) Disclosure, dated February 29, 2016.

10 3. Attached hereto as **Exhibit 2** is a true and correct copy of Trial Exhibit 1062, a
11 document titled jls - classes.txt.

12 4. Attached hereto as **Exhibit 3** is a true and correct copy of excerpts of the
13 deposition of Mark Reinhold, Ph.D, taken March 15, 2016


14 5. Attached hereto as **Exhibit 4** is a true and correct copy of excerpts of the Expert
15 Report of Douglas C. Schmidt, Ph.D Regarding Fair Use and Rebuttal to Google's Opening
16 Expert Reports, dated February 8, 2016. As Google is not challenging the expert report in a
17 *Daubert* motion we have not lodged the entire report, but will do so should the Court request the
18 full version.

19 6. Attached hereto as **Exhibit 5** is a true and correct copy of the cover page of
20 Appendix P to Dr. Schmidt's February 8, 2016 Rebuttal Report. We have not lodged the entire
21 appendix as it is over 800 pages, but will do so should the Court request the full version of
22 Appendix P.

23 7. Attached hereto as **Exhibit 6** is a true and correct copy of excerpts of the Reply
24 Expert Report of Douglas C. Schmidt, Ph.D, dated February 29, 2016. As Google is not
25 challenging the expert report in a *Daubert* motion we have not lodged the entire report, but will
26 do so should the Court request the full version.

27 I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the
28 foregoing is true and correct to the best of my knowledge.

Executed this 3rd day of May, 2016 at San Francisco, California.

By: 
Maya Karwande